

Complemented Subspaces and Interpolation Properties in Spaces of Polynomials

Manuel Valdivia*

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Valencia, Dr. Moliner, 50

metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Submitted by Richard M. Aron

Received May 12, 1995

Let X be a Banach space whose dual X^* has type $p \in (1, 2]$. If m is an integer greater than $p/(p-1)$ and (x_n) is a seminormalized sequence weakly convergent to zero, there is a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) such that, for each element (a_n) of l_∞ , there is an m -homogeneous continuous polynomial P on X with $P(y_n) = a_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Some interpolation and complementation properties are also given in $\mathcal{P}^m(l_p)$, for $m < p$, as well as in other spaces of polynomials and multilinear functionals. © 1997 Academic Press

The linear spaces we shall use in this paper are all assumed to be defined over the field K of real or complex numbers. \mathbb{N} will denote the set of positive integers. For a set B , $|B|$ denotes its cardinal number.

If X is a Banach space, then X^* and X^{**} represent its conjugate and second conjugate, respectively. We identify X with a subspace of X^{**} by means of the canonical embedding. Otherwise stated, $B(X)$ is the closed unit ball of X ; $\|\cdot\|_X$ is the norm on X , when no confusion occurs $\|\cdot\|$ will be also used. For $x \in X$ and $u \in X^*$, $\langle x, u \rangle$ means $u(x)$. If (x_n) is a sequence in X , $[x_n]$ denotes the closed linear span of (x_n) . We say that (x_n) is seminormalized if there are $0 < h < k < \infty$ such that $h \leq \|x_n\| \leq k$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If the sequence (x_n) is basic, (x_n^*) will be its associated sequence of functionals in $[x_n]^*$. We say that X is an Asplund space whenever each separable closed subspace has separable conjugate, or, equivalently, X^* has the Radon–Nikodym Property.

* Supported in part by DGICYT PB91-0326.

The l_p spaces we use here correspond to $1 \leq p < \infty$; if $p = \infty$, we put explicitly l_∞ .

If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m are Banach spaces, we take as closed unit ball of $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_m$ the product $B(X_1) \times B(X_2) \times \dots \times B(X_m)$. $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$ denotes the space of all m -linear continuous functionals on $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_m$, with the usual norm. If $x_j^* \in X_j^*$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$x_1^* \otimes x_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes x_m^* \quad (1)$$

is the element of $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$ such that, if $x_j \in X_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$x_1^* \otimes x_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes x_m^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = x_1^*(x_1)x_2^*(x_2) \dots x_m^*(x_m).$$

When $X = X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_m$, we write $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}X$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$. $\mathcal{L}_s^{(m)}X$ will be the subspace of $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}X$ formed by the symmetric functionals. By taking the restrictions to the diagonal of the elements of $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}X$ we obtain a linear space represented by $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}X$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}^{(m)}X$, we say that P is an m -homogeneous continuous polynomial on X ; thus, there is an element f of $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}X$ such that

$$P(x) := f\left(x, x, \dots, x\right)^{(m)}$$

We define

$$\|P\| := \sup\{|P(x)| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

and assume that $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}X$ is endowed with this norm. By means of a polarization formula we may associate in a unique way to each polynomial P a symmetric element g of $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}X$ such that

$$g\left(x, \dots, x\right)^{(m)} = P(x), \quad x \in X.$$

As for tensor products, we use the notation of [11], extend here for tensor products of more than two factors.

LEMMA 1. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be linear spaces. Let f be an n -linear functional defined in $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n$. Given the vectors x_{jr} in X_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there are numbers $\gamma_{jr} \in K$, $|\gamma_{jr}| = 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that*

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^m f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{nr}) \right| \leq \left| f\left(\sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{1r} x_{1r}, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{2r} x_{2r}, \dots, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{nr} x_{nr} \right) \right|.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, we have an equality by taking $\gamma_{1r} = 1$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Following an induction process, let us assume that the property holds for

all p -linear functionals on $X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_p$, for a positive integer $p < n$, and we show that it still holds for $p + 1$. If $m = 1$, we have nothing to prove. Assume then that $m > 1$. We put $\eta_{p1} := 1$ and choose $\gamma_{(p+1)1}$ in K such that $|\gamma_{(p+1)1}| = 1$ and

$$f(x_{11}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{(p-1)1}, \eta_{p1}x_{p1}, \gamma_{(p+1)1}x_{(p+1)1}) \geq 0.$$

Proceeding by recurrence, suppose that, for a positive integer $s < m$, we have chosen in K

$$n_{pr} \text{ and } \gamma_{(p+1)r}, \quad \text{with } |\eta_{pr}| = |\gamma_{(p+1)r}| = 1, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

such that

$$f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr}x_{pr}, \gamma_{(p+1)r}x_{(p+1)r}) \geq 0, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \neq r \leq s} \Re e(f(x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \dots, x_{(p-1)j}, \eta_{pj}x_{pj}, \gamma_{(p+1)r}x_{(p+1)r})) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

We find $\delta_{(p+1)(s+1)}$ in K , $|\delta_{(p+1)(s+1)}| = 1$, such that

$$f(x_{1(s+1)}, x_{2(s+1)}, \dots, x_{p(s+1)}, \delta_{(p+1)(s+1)}x_{(p+1)(s+1)}) \geq 0.$$

If the real part of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^s f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr}x_{pr}, \delta_{(p+1)(s+1)}x_{(p+1)(s+1)}) \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^s f(x_{1(s+1)}, x_{2(s+1)}, \dots, x_{p(s+1)}, \gamma_{(p+1)r}x_{(p+1)r}) \end{aligned}$$

is greater than or equal to zero, we write

$$\eta_{p(s+1)} := 1, \quad \gamma_{(p+1)(s+1)} := \delta_{(p+1)(s+1)},$$

and if it is less than zero, we put

$$\eta_{p(s+1)} := -1, \quad \gamma_{(p+1)(s+1)} := -\delta_{(p+1)(s+1)}.$$

Then we have that (2) and (3) are yet satisfied replacing s by $s + 1$. Consequently, (2) and (3) hold for m . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_{r=1}^m f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p+1)r}) \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{r=1}^m f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr} x_{pr}, \gamma_{(p+1)r} x_{(p+1)r}) \\
 & \leq \left| \sum_{1 \leq j, r \leq m} f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr} x_{pr}, \gamma_{(p+1)j} x_{(p+1)j}) \right| \\
 & = \left| \sum_{r=1}^m f\left(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr} x_{pr}, \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_{(p+1)j} x_{(p+1)j}\right) \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the p -linear functional on $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_p$ given by

$$f\left(\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot, \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_{(p+1)j} x_{(p+1)j}\right).$$

Then, by our induction hypothesis, given the vectors

$$x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr} x_{pr}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

there are $\gamma_{jp} \in K$, $|\gamma_{jp}| = 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_{r=1}^m f\left(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p-1)r}, \eta_{pr} x_{pr}, \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_{(p+1)j} x_{(p+1)j}\right) \right| \\
 & \leq \left| f\left(\sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{1r} x_{1r}, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{2r} x_{2r}, \dots, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{(p-1)r} x_{(p-1)r}, \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{pr} x_{pr}, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{(p+1)r} x_{(p+1)r}\right) \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_{r=1}^m f(x_{1r}, x_{2r}, \dots, x_{(p+1)r}) \right| \\
 & \leq \left| f\left(\sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{1r} x_{1r}, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{2r} x_{2r}, \dots, \sum_{r=1}^m \gamma_{(p+1)r} x_{(p+1)r}\right) \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

Q.E.D.

THEOREM 1. *Given $l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m}$ with*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad m > 1, r \geq 1,$$

let (e_{j_n}) be a Schauder basis in l_{p_j} equivalent to the unit vector basis of this space, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If Y is the closed linear hull of

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{mn}) \quad (4)$$

in $X := l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_m}$, then Y is isomorphic to l_r , has a topological complement, and (4) is a Schauder basis for Y equivalent to the unit basis of l_r .

Proof. We do first the proof for $m = 2$. If $(a_n) \in l_r$, we consider the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \quad (5)$$

in X . For a given $\epsilon > 0$, we find a positive integer n_0 such that, if $n_0 \leq q \leq s$,

$$\sum_{n=q}^s |a_n|^r < \epsilon^r.$$

We get an element x^* in X^* , $\|x^*\| = 1$, so that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=q}^s a_n e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \right\| = \left\langle \sum_{n=q}^s a_n e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n}, x^* \right\rangle.$$

Then, if B is the bilinear functional associated to x^* and α_n , $q \leq n \leq s$, are certain elements of K with $|\alpha_n| = 1$, we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=q}^s a_n e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \right\| = \sum_{n=q}^s a_n B(e_{1n}, e_{2n}) = \sum_{n=q}^s \alpha_n |a_n|^{r/p_1} |a_n|^{r/p_2} B(e_{1n}, e_{2n}).$$

We apply our former lemma to obtain $\beta_n, \gamma_n \in K, |\beta_n| = |\gamma_n| = 1, q \leq n \leq s$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \sum_{n=q}^s a_n e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \right\| &\leq \left\| B \left(\sum_{n=q}^s \beta_n |a_n|^{r/p_1} e_{1n}, \sum_{n=q}^s \gamma_n |a_n|^{r/p_2} e_{2n} \right) \right\| \\
 &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=q}^s \beta_n |a_n|^{r/p_1} e_{1n} \right\|_{l_{p_1}} \cdot \left\| \sum_{n=q}^s \gamma_n |a_n|^{r/p_2} e_{2n} \right\|_{l_{p_2}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n=q}^s |a_n|^r \right)^{1/p_1} \cdot \left(\sum_{n=q}^s |a_n|^r \right)^{1/p_2} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n=q}^s |a_n|^r \right)^{1/r} < \epsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

hence it follows that the series (5) converges in X . Now, let

$$Z := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} : (a_n) \in l_r \right\}.$$

It follows that Z is a linear subspace of X , which we assume endowed with the norm induced by that of X . We write

$$A := \{x \otimes y : x \in l_{p_1}, y \in l_{p_2}\}.$$

We have that $l_{p_1} \otimes l_{p_2}$ is the linear hull of A . If u belongs to $l_{p_1} \otimes l_{p_2}$, we define Tu as the sequence in $K, (\langle u, e_{1n}^* \otimes e_{2n}^* \rangle)$. If we fix x in l_{p_1} and y in l_{p_2} , we then have

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e_{1n}, \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_{2n},$$

hence

$$\langle x \otimes y, e_{1n}^* \otimes e_{2n}^* \rangle = b_n c_n.$$

Now, since p_1/r and p_2/r are conjugate numbers, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n c_n|^r \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|b_n|^r)^{p_1/r} \right)^{r/p_1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|c_n|^r)^{p_2/r} \right)^{r/p_2},$$

and therefore

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n c_n|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|b_n|^{p_1}) \right)^{1/p_1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|c_n|^{p_2}) \right)^{1/p_2}, \quad (6)$$

thus T is a linear operator from $l_{p_1} \otimes l_{p_2}$ into l_r . Besides, if (a_n) is a given element of l_r , we find $\alpha_n \in K$, $|\alpha_n| = 1$, such that $\alpha_n |a_n| = a_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$x_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n |a_n|^{r/p_1} e_{1n} \in l_{p_1}, \quad y_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^{r/p_2} e_{2n} \in l_{p_2}$$

and $T(x_1 \otimes y_1) = (a_n)$, from where we deduce that

$$T: l_{p_1} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p_2} \rightarrow l_r \quad (7)$$

is an onto linear map. We see that T is continuous. Let B_r denote the closed unit ball of l_r . It follows then that $T^{-1}(B_r)$ is an absolutely convex subset of $l_{p_1} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p_2}$. If we assume that A is provided with the topology induced by that of $l_{p_1} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p_2}$, we have by (6) that $T|_A: A \rightarrow l_r$ is continuous at the origin, consequently $T^{-1}(B_r) \cap A$ is a zero-neighborhood in A and hence there is $h > 0$ such that

$$M := \{x \otimes y: \|x\| \leq h, \|y\| \leq h\}$$

is contained in $T^{-1}(B_r) \cap A$. The absolutely convex hull of M in $l_{p_1} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p_2}$ is a zero-neighborhood in this space and it is contained in $T^{-1}(B_r)$, which gives continuity for (7). We extend T continuously to obtain a continuous linear operator

$$S: X \rightarrow l_r.$$

For a given w in X , we find a sequence (w_n) in $l_{p_1} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p_2}$ which converges to w in X . Then (Sw_n) converges to an element of l_r which is clearly $(\langle w, e_{1n}^* \otimes e_{2n}^* \rangle)$. Thus, for every element w of X , $(\langle w, e_{1n}^* \otimes e_{2n}^* \rangle)$ belongs to l_r . In $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ one can define an order relation \leq so that

$$\{e_{1n} \otimes e_{2m}: (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \leq\} \quad (8)$$

is a Schauder basis of X with $(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n})$ as a subsequence of this basis [9]. Hence, the elements of Z may be regarded as those vectors in X whose series expansion with respect to the basis (8) has zero entries in the coefficients corresponding to the terms $e_{1q} \otimes e_{2s}$, for $q \neq s$, $q, s = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows then that Z is a Banach space, and since the restriction Λ of S to Z is one-to-one, continuous, and onto we have that Z isomorphic to l_r .

Clearly, Z coincides with Y . On the other hand, Y has a topological complement in X formed by all the vectors w in X whose series expansion with respect to the basis (8) has zero coefficients for the terms $e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Finally, $(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n})$ is a basis for Y equivalent to the unit basis of l_r .

We show next that the theorem holds for $m > 2$. Let us assume it is true for $m - 1$. We write

$$V := l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_{m-1}}.$$

We find a number $p > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{m-1}} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

We know then that the closed linear span W of

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{(m-1)n}) \quad (9)$$

in V is isomorphic to l_p and (9) is equivalent to the unit basis of l_p . Also, W has a topological complement D in V . Since $1/p + 1/p_m = 1/r$, we apply what we mentioned before and have that the closed linear span Y of

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{(m-1)n} \otimes e_{mn}) \quad (10)$$

in $W \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_m}$ is isomorphic to l_r and (10) is equivalent to the unit basis of l_r . Besides, Y has a topological complement in $W \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_m}$. On the other hand, $W \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_m}$ has a topological complement in X isomorphic to $D \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_m}$. The result is now immediate. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1. *Let (e_{jn}) be the unit vector basis of l_{p_j} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $m > 1$. If*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{r},$$

$r > 1$, and s is the conjugate number of r , the following properties hold:

(1) *For each g in $\mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m})$, $Tg := (g(e_{1n}, e_{2n}, \dots, e_{mn}))$ belongs to l_s and*

$$T: \mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m}) \rightarrow l_s$$

is a continuous onto linear map.

(2) For each g in $\mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m})$ and $x_j \in X_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the series

$$(Sg)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\ := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(e_{1n}, e_{2n}, \dots, e_{mn}) \langle x_1, e_{1n}^* \rangle \langle x_2, e_{2n}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_m, e_{mn}^* \rangle$$

converges, Sg is in $\mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m})$, and

$$S: \mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m})$$

is a continuous projection whose range is isomorphic to l_s .

Proof. Let $X := l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{p_m}$, and let Y denote the closed linear subspace of X spanned by the sequence

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn}).$$

Let x^* be the element of X^* associated to g . Then,

$$\langle e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn}, x^* \rangle = g(e_{1n}, e_{2n}, \dots, e_{mn}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and by our former theorem, we have that

$$Tg := (g(e_{1n}, e_{2n}, \dots, e_{mn}))$$

belongs to l_s and

$$T: \mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m}) \rightarrow l_s$$

is linear and continuous. On the other hand, if $(a_n) \in l_s$, there is an element g^* of Y^* such that

$$\langle e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn}, y^* \rangle = a_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We extend y^* to an element u of X^* . If f is the element of $\mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m})$ associated to u , it follows that $Tf = (a_n)$, which concludes the proof of (1).

As for part (2), notice that $(\langle x_j, e_{jn}^* \rangle)$ belongs to l_{p_j} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and, hence, $(\langle x_1, e_{1n}^* \rangle \langle x_2, e_{2n}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_m, e_{mn}^* \rangle) \in l_s$, then, since $(g(e_{1n}, e_{2n}, \dots, e_{mn})) \in l_r$, the series $(Sg)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ is convergent and defines a continuous m -linear functional of $\mathcal{L}(l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, \dots, l_{p_m})$. It follows that S is a continuous projection with range isomorphic to l_s . Q.E.D.

The proof of the next corollary follows directly from Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Let (e_n) be the unit vector basis of l_p . If m is an integer, $1 < m < p$, and s is the conjugate number of p/m , then the following properties hold:*

(1) *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m l_p)$, $TP := (P(e_n))$ belongs to l_s and the mapping*

$$T: \mathcal{P}(^m l_p) \rightarrow l_s$$

is linear continuous and onto.

(2) *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m l_p)$ and $x \in l_p$, the series*

$$(SP)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(e_n) \langle x, e_n^* \rangle^m$$

converges, SP belongs to $\mathcal{P}(^m l_p)$, and

$$S: \mathcal{P}(^m l_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(^m l_p)$$

is a continuous projection whose range is isomorphic to l_s .

A sequence (x_n) in a Banach space X has a lower p -estimate ($1 \leq p < \infty$) if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \geq c \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for every finite sequence a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m in K . If, besides, (x_n) is a basic sequence, it follows that if

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \in [x_n],$$

then (a_n) is in l_p and there is a one-to-one continuous linear map T from $[x_n]$ into l_p such that

$$Tx_n = e_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where (e_n) is the unit basis of l_p . If $p = 1$, we have that $[x_n]$ is isomorphic to l_1 and (x_n) is a Schauder basis for $[x_n]$ equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 .

THEOREM 2. *In a Banach space X_j , let (x_{jn}) be a Schauder basis with a lower p_j -estimate, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} \geq 1.$$

If W is the closed linear span of

$$(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}) \quad (11)$$

in $X := X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \dots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_m$, we have that W is isomorphic to l_1 , has a topological complement and (11) is a Schauder basis of W equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 .

Proof. If $p \geq p_1$, clearly the basis (x_{1n}) has a lower p -estimate. Consequently, it means no restriction to assume that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = 1.$$

Let T_j be the continuous linear injection of X_j into l_{p_j} such that $T_j x_{jn} = e_{jn}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where (e_{jn}) is the unit basis of l_{p_j} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If

$$Z := l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \dots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_m},$$

let

$$S: X \rightarrow Z$$

be the continuous linear map such that

$$S(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = T_1 x_1 \otimes T_2 x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m x_m, \\ x_j \in X_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

that is, $S := T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m$. Let Y denote the closed linear hull of the sequence

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{mn}) \quad (12)$$

in Z . By Theorem 1, Y is isomorphic to l_1 , has a topological complement, and (12) is a Schauder basis for Y equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 . By [9], (11) is a Schauder basis of W . Again by [9], the set

$$\{x_{1n_1} \otimes x_{2n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn_m} : n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (13)$$

may be ordered into a sequence (u_n) so that it is a Schauder basis for X and with (11) as a subsequence. Similarly, we provide the set

$$\{e_{1n_1} \otimes e_{2n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn_m} : n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

with the same ordering as that of (13) and thus obtain a Schauder basis (v_n) of Z such that (12) is a subsequence of (v_n) and $Su_n = v_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Take now an arbitrary element

$$x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n \quad (14)$$

in X . Then

$$Sx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n v_n \quad (15)$$

is in Z . If b_n is the coefficient of $x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn}$ in (14), it follows that b_n is also the coefficient of $e_{1n} \otimes c_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn}$ in (15), $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and having in mind the proof of Theorem 1, we have that $(b_n) \in l_1$. Therefore, W is formed by all the vectors (14) such that the coefficient of $x_{1n_1} \otimes x_{2n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn_m}$ is zero when the number n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m are not all equal. Then, W has a topological complement in X made of all those vectors of the form (14) whose coefficients for $x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are all zero. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 3. *In a Banach space X_j , let (x_{jn}) be a Schauder basis with a lower p_j -estimate, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, with*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} \geq 1.$$

The following properties hold:

(1) *If, for each g in $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$, we put $Tg := (g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}))$, then*

$$T: \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \rightarrow l_{\infty}$$

is an onto continuous linear map.

(2) *For each g in $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$ and $x_j \in X_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the series*

$$\begin{aligned} & (Sg)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\ & := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) \langle x_1, x_{1n}^* \rangle \langle x_2, x_{2n}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_m, x_{mn}^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

converges, Sg belongs to $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$, and

$$S: \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$$

is a continuous projection of range isomorphic to l_∞ .

Proof. This is totally analogous to that of Corollary 2, just making use of Theorem 2 instead of Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

The proof of the following corollary follows directly after Corollary 3.

COROLLARY 4. *In a Banach space X , let (x_n) be a Schauder basis with a lower p -estimate. If m is an integer with $m \geq p$, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If, for each P in $\mathcal{P}^m(X)$, we define $TP := (Px_n)$, then*

$$T: \mathcal{P}^m(X) \rightarrow l_\infty$$

is an onto continuous linear map.

- (2) *For each P in $\mathcal{P}^m(X)$ and x in X , the series*

$$(SP)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(x_n) \langle x, x_n^* \rangle^m$$

converges, SP belongs to $\mathcal{P}^m(X)$, and

$$S: \mathcal{P}^m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^m(X)$$

is a continuous projection whose range is isomorphic to l_∞ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let (x_{j_n}) be a seminormalized sequence in l_{p_j} weakly convergent to zero, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{r}$$

with $r \geq 1$, there is a sequence of positive integers, $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s < \dots$, such that the closed linear subspace Z spanned by

$$(x_{1n_s} \otimes x_{2n_s} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn_s}) \tag{16}$$

in $X := l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \dots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_m}$ is isomorphic to l_r , has a topological complement, and (16) is a Schauder basis for Z equivalent to the unit basis of l_r .

Proof. From a result of Pelczynski [12], there is a sequence of integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s < \dots$ such that the closed linear hull Y_j of (x_{jn_s}) in l_{p_j} is complemented, isomorphic to l_{p_j} , and (x_{jn_s}) is a basis for Y_j equivalent

to the unit vector basis of l_{p_j} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If Z denotes the closed linear hull of

$$(x_{1n_s} \otimes x_{2n_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn_s})$$

in

$$Y := Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y_2 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y_m,$$

then the result follows after Theorem 1, having in mind that Y has a topological complement in X . Q.E.D.

Let f be a continuous m -linear functional defined on $l_{p_1} \times l_{p_2} \times \cdots \times l_{p_m}$ with

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad r > 1.$$

Making use of Proposition 1, we see next that f is weakly sequentially continuous. Taking a seminormalized sequence (x_{jn}) in l_{p_j} , weakly convergent to zero, we show that $f(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn})$ converges to zero. If not, we apply the Bessaga–Pelczynski selection theorem [5] and, after Proposition 1, we obtain a sequence of positive integers such that

$$(f(x_{1n_s}, x_{2n_s}, \dots, x_{mn_s}))$$

does not converge to zero, the closed linear span Z of

$$(x_{1n_s} \otimes x_{2n_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn_s}) \tag{17}$$

in $X := l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_m}$ is isomorphic to l_r , and (17) is a Schauder basis for Z equivalent to the unit basis of l_r . Hence, (17) converges weakly to the origin in X . If g is the element of X^* associated to f , we have that

$$\lim_s f(x_{1n_s}, x_{2n_s}, \dots, x_{mn_s}) = \lim_s g(x_{1n_s} \otimes x_{2n_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn_s}) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

The previous result can be found in [14] for $m = 2$. Now, if T is a continuous m -linear map from X into l_q , where

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} < \frac{1}{q},$$

let p_{m+1} be the conjugate number of q . Then

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} + \frac{1}{p_{m+1}} < 1$$

and, therefore, if h denotes the continuous $(m+1)$ -linear functional in $l_{p_1} \times l_{p_2} \times \cdots \times l_{p_m} \times l_{p_{m+1}}$ such that

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}) = \langle x_{m+1}, T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \rangle, \\ x_j \in l_{p_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m+1,$$

it follows, after what we did before, that h is weakly sequentially continuous, thus we easily obtain that T is compact [1, 13].

A given sequence (x_n) in a Banach space X is said to have an upper p -estimate ($1 \leq p < \infty$) if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n \right\| \leq c \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

for every finite sequence a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m in K . This is equivalent to saying that if a_n is in l_p , then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$ converges in X and hence there is a continuous linear mapping T from l_p into X such that

$$Te_n = x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where (e_n) is the unit vector basis of l_p . When the sequence (x_n) is basic the mapping T is then one-to-one.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let (x_{j_n}) be a sequence in the Banach space X_j with an upper p_j -estimate, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad r > 1.$$

Then

$$(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn})$$

has an upper r -estimate in $X := X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X_m$.

Proof. Let (e_{j_n}) be the unit vector basis of l_{p_j} . Let T_j be the continuous linear mapping from l_{p_j} into X_j such that

$$T_j e_{j_n} = x_{j_n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $T := T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_m$. Let Z be the closed linear hull of

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn}) \quad (18)$$

in $l_{p_1} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_2} \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi l_{p_m}$. It follows that Z is isomorphic to l_r and (18) is a Schauder basis of Z equivalent to the unit basis of l_r . Consequently, if S denotes the restriction of T to Z , then

$$S: Z \rightarrow X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_m$$

is continuous linear and

$$S(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{mn}) = x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

the conclusion now follows. Q.E.D.

Let (e_n) be the unit vector basis of l_p ($1 < p < \infty$) and P an m -homogeneous continuous polynomial defined in l_p such that $1 < m < p$. If s is the conjugate number of p/m , then $(P(e_n)) \in l_s$, [15]. In [10], this result is extended in the following way: (a) *Let P be an m -homogeneous continuous polynomial from a Banach space X into a Banach space Y . If $m < p$, then P turns sequences with an upper p -estimate in X into sequences with an upper (p/m) estimate in Y . Result (a) may be obtained after our Proposition 2.*

Take a sequence (x_n) in X with an upper p -estimate. We apply Proposition 2 and thus obtain a continuous linear map T from $l_{(p/m)}$ into ${}^vL := X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X$ such that

$$T(f_n) = x_n \otimes x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where (f_n) is the unit basis of $l_{(p/m)}$. Let f be the symmetric m -linear map from mX into Y associated to P . Let \mathfrak{x} be the canonical mapping of mX into L and let g be the continuous linear map from L into Y such that $g \circ \mathfrak{x} = f$. Then $S := g \circ T$ is a continuous linear map from $l_{(p/m)}$ into Y and

$$S(f_n) = g\left(x_n \otimes x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n\right) = P(x_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

the result now follows.

Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We say, after Pelczynski [13], that a sequence (x_n) in the Banach space X τ_α -converges to the origin if there is a constant $c > 0$ such

that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} x_n \right\| \leq c|B|^\alpha$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} . As it is noticed in [1], this is equivalent to having a constant $b > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} \lambda_n x_n \right\| \leq b|B|^\alpha$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} and every set $\{\lambda_n : n \in B\}$ of scalars with $|\lambda_n| \leq 1$, $n \in B$. Notice also that τ_α -convergence is stronger than weak convergence.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $\alpha_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, with $\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j < 1$. Let (x_{jn}) be a sequence in the Banach space X_j that τ_{α_j} -converges to the origin, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then, in $X := X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \dots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_m$, the sequence $(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn})$ τ_α -converges to the origin.*

Proof. Let $c_j > 0$ be such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} \lambda_n x_{jn} \right\| \leq c_j |B|^{\alpha_j},$$

where B is an arbitrary non-empty finite subset of \mathbb{N} and $\lambda_n \in K$, $|\lambda_n| \leq 1$, $n \in B$. Put $c := c_1 c_2 \dots c_m$. We find an element f in X^* , $\|f\| = 1$, such that, for fixed B ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{n \in B} x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn} \right\| \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n \in B} x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}, f \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \in B} g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) \\ &\leq \left| g \left(\sum_{n \in B} \gamma_{1n} x_{1n}, \sum_{n \in B} \gamma_{2n} x_{2n}, \dots, \sum_{n \in B} \gamma_{mn} x_{mn} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in B} \gamma_{1n} x_{1n} \right\| \left\| \sum_{n \in B} \gamma_{2n} x_{2n} \right\| \dots \left\| \sum_{n \in B} \gamma_{mn} x_{mn} \right\| \leq c|B|^\alpha. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 5. *In a Banach space X_j , let (x_{jn}) be a basic sequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 . Let (u_{jn}) be a bounded sequence in*

X_j^* such that $(x_{jn}, u_{jn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a biorthogonal system, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The following properties hold:

$$(1) \quad \text{In } X := X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \dots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_m, \text{ the closed linear span } Z \text{ of} \\ (x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}) \quad (19)$$

is isomorphic to c_0 and (19) is a Schauder basis for Z equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 .

$$(2) \quad \text{In } \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m), \text{ the closed linear span } U \text{ of} \\ (u_{1n} \otimes u_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{mn}) \quad (20)$$

is isomorphic to l_1 , (20) is a Schauder basis for U equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_1 , and

$$V := \{f \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) : f(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a topological complement of U in $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$.

Proof. (1) Clearly, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} x_{jn} \right\| \leq c, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} . Consequently, (x_{jn}) τ_0 -converges to the origin in X_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We apply Proposition 3 and have that (19) τ_0 -converges to the origin in X . Hence, there is a constant $b > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} \lambda_n x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn} \right\| \leq b,$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} and $\lambda_n \in K$, $|\lambda_n| \leq 1$, $n \in B$. Let (a_n) be an element of c_0 . For a given $\epsilon > 0$, we find a positive integer p such that $|a_n| \leq \epsilon/b$, $n \geq p$. Then, for $p \leq r \leq q$,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=r}^q a_n x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn} \right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{b} \left\| \sum_{n=r}^q \frac{ba_n}{\epsilon} x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn} \right\| \leq \epsilon,$$

whence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}$$

converges in X and thus Z is isomorphic to c_0 with (19) being a Schauder basis for Z equivalent to the unit basis of c_0 .

(2) We identify, in the usual fashion, X^* with $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$. Then V coincides with the subspace of X^* orthogonal to Z , and hence X^*/Z is isomorphic to l_1 . If ψ denotes the canonical mapping of X^* onto X^*/Z ,

$$(\psi(u_{1n} \otimes u_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{mn}))$$

is a Schauder basis of X^*/Z which is equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 . The result is now immediate. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 6. *Let (e_n) be the unit vector basis of c_0 . Given an integer $m > 1$, we have that in $\mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$ the closed linear subspace U spanned by the sequence*

$$(e_n^* \otimes e_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n^*) \quad (21)$$

is isomorphic to l_1 , (21) is a Schauder basis for U equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 , and

$$V := \{P \in \mathcal{P}^m(c_0) : P(e_n) = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a topological complement of U in $\mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$.

Proof. This follows immediately after our previous corollary by identifying, in the usual way, $\mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$ with $\mathcal{L}_s^m(c_0)$. Q.E.D.

Let (e_n) be the unit vector basis of c_0 and m an integer greater than one. It is shown in [2] that if $P \in \mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$ and $TP := (P(e_n))$, then $(P(e_n)) \in l_1$ and

$$T: \mathcal{P}^m(c_0) \rightarrow l_1$$

is an onto continuous linear map.

This result can be obtained after Corollary 6 in the following manner: Take $P \in \mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$. Then $P = P_1 + P_2$, $P_1 \in U$, $P_2 \in V$,

$$P_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n^* \otimes e_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n^*, \quad (a_n) \in l_1,$$

and thus

$$(P(e_n)) = (P_1(e_n)) = (a_n) \in l_1.$$

On the other hand, if $(b_n) \in l_1$, the polynomial

$$Q := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e_n^* \otimes e_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n^*$$

belongs to U and it satisfies $(Q(e_n)) = (b_n)$.

The following result can be found in [1] and, particularly for polynomials in [13, 3]: (b) *Let $\alpha_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, with $\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j < 1$. Let (x_{jn}) be a sequence in the Banach space X_j which τ_{α_j} -converges to the origin, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If f is a continuous m -linear mapping from $X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_m$ into the Banach space Y , then $(f(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}))$ τ_{α} -converges to the origin in Y .*

Result (b) may be obtained after Proposition 3: In $X := X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \cdots \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X_m$, $(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn})$ τ_{α} -converges to the origin. Let g be the linear map from X to Y associated to f . Then, for a given non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \in B} f(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n \in B} g(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| g \left(\sum_{n \in B} x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in B} x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{mn} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

LEMMA 2. *In a Banach space X , let (x_n) be a seminormalized unconditional basic sequence weakly convergent to zero. If there is a continuous m -homogeneous polynomial P defined in X such that $(P(x_n))$ does not converge to zero, then there is a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) which has a lower m -estimate.*

Proof. We may assume that $\|P\| = 1$ and, by conveniently multiplying x_n by a certain modulus-one scalar, that $P(x_n)$ is a real number greater than a positive number a^m , $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose first that every continuous homogeneous polynomial Q on X , of degree less than m , satisfies

$$\lim_n Q(x_n) = 0.$$

Let f be the symmetric m -linear functional associated to P . Then, if m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r , $1 \leq r < m$, are positive integers, we have that

$$\lim_n f(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_r}, x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

We set $n_1 := 1$, $y_1 := x_{n_1}$. Proceeding by recurrence, we assume to have already found a positive integer n_r . Let $y_r := x_{n_r}$. We take $n_{r+1} > N - r$ such that if p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are arbitrary positive integers not all equal being n_{r+1} the greatest of all of them, then

$$|f(x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}, \dots, x_{p_m})| < \frac{1}{2^{r+1}(r+1)^m}.$$

We put $y_{r+1} := x_{n_{r+1}}$. Now, take

$$y := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n y_n \in [y_n].$$

Let k be the unconditional constant of the sequence (y_n) . Given $\epsilon > 0$, we find an integer n_0 such that if $n_0 \leq p \leq q$,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=p}^q b_n y_n \right\| < \frac{a\epsilon}{4k}, \quad \frac{1}{2^{n_0}} < \frac{a^m \epsilon^m}{2}, \quad |b_n| \leq 1, \quad n \geq n_0,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a\epsilon}{4k} \right)^m &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=p}^q b_n y_n \right\|^m \geq (2k)^{-m} \left\| \sum_{n=p}^q |b_n| y_n \right\|^m \\ &\geq (2k)^{-m} \left| P \left(\sum_{n=p}^q |b_n| y_n \right) \right| \\ &= (2k)^{-m} \left| f \left(\sum_{n=p}^q |b_n| y_n, \dots, \sum_{n=p}^q |b_n| y_n \right) \right| \\ &\geq (2k)^{-m} \left(\sum_{n=p}^q |b_n|^m P(y_n) - \left(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{p+2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^q} \right) \right) \\ &\geq (2k)^{-m} \left(\sum_{n=p}^q |b_n|^m a^m - \frac{a^m \epsilon^m}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{n=p}^q |b_n| \right)^{1/m} < \epsilon.$$

Hence, $(b_n) \in l_m$. We now consider the linear map T from $[y_n]$ into l_m such that if $y := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n y_n$, $Ty = (b_n)$. It is easy to see that T has closed graph and, consequently, T is continuous. Therefore, (y_n) has a lower m -estimate.

Suppose now that there is a positive integer $r < m$ and a continuous r -homogeneous polynomial Q on X such that $(Q(x_n))$ does not converge to zero and each continuous homogeneous polynomial R on X of degree less than r satisfies

$$\lim_n R(x_n) = 0.$$

Then, using a similar argument to the one used before, replacing P by Q , there is a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) and a continuous linear mapping S from $[y_n]$ into l_r such that $Ty_n = e_{rn}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where (e_{rn}) is the unit basis of l_r . If (e_n) is the unit basis of l_m , there is a continuous linear map $\varphi: l_r \rightarrow l_m$ such that $\varphi(e_{rn}) = e_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If we put $T := \varphi \circ S$, then $T: [y_n] \rightarrow l_m$ is continuous, linear, and $Ty_n = e_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 3. *In a Banach space X , let (x_n) be a seminormalized sequence weakly convergent to the origin. Let P be a continuous m -homogeneous polynomial defined in X such that $(P(x_n))$ does not converge to zero. Then there is a subsequence (z_n) of (x_n) and a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} z_n^* \right\| \leq a|B|^{(m-1)/m}$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} .

Proof. After [4, Chap. III], one can find a subsequence (u_n) of (x_n) such that, for any given subsequence (w_n) of (u_n) , (w_n) has a spreading model $(G, (f_n))$, $(f_n) = (w_n)$, such that (w_n^*) has in $[w_n]^*$ a spreading model which can be identified with $(H, (f_n^*))$, where H denotes the closed linear hull of (f_n^*) in G^* .

Let us assume first that every continuous homogeneous polynomial Q on X of degree less than m satisfies $\lim_n Q(x_n) = 0$. We denote by f the symmetric m -linear functional associated to P . We may assume, by conveniently multiplying x_n by modulus-one scalars, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that $P(x_n)$ is real, $\lim_n P(x_n) = c > 0$, and

$$c - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < P(u_n) < c + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We may also assume, by selecting the elements u_n step by step, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, as we did before in the proof of Lemma 2 in order to choose (y_n) , that if n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m are positive integers not all equal with r being the greatest of them, then

$$|f(u_{n_1}, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_m})| < \frac{1}{2^{r_r m}}.$$

Let $(F, (e_n))$ be the spreading model of (u_n) , $(u_n) = (e_n)$. We take an element of F

$$a_1 e_{r_1} + a_2 e_{r_2} + \cdots + a_s e_{r_s}, \quad r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_s,$$

of norm one. If δ is the supremum of the norm of e_n^* in F^* for all n , it follows that $|a_j| \leq \delta$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$. We may find, after [6], a positive integer $k > r_s$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j e_{k+j} \right\|_F - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j u_{k+j} \right\| < 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P \left(\sum_{j=1}^s a_j e_{r_j} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{1 \leq n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m \leq s} a_{n_1} a_{n_2} \cdots a_{n_m} f(u_{r_{n_1}}, u_{r_{n_2}}, \dots, u_{r_{n_m}}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j^m P(u_{r_j}) \right| + \delta^m \left(r_2^m \frac{1}{2^{r_2} r_2^m} + r_3^m \frac{1}{2^{r_3} r_3^m} + \cdots + r_s^m \frac{1}{2^{r_s} r_s^m} \right) \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j^m P(u_{k+j}) \right| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j^m (P(u_{r_j}) - P(u_{k+j})) \right| + \delta^m \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j^m P(u_{k+j}) \right| + \delta^m \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{2^{r_j}} + \delta^m \\ &\leq \left| P \left(\sum_{j=1}^s a_j u_{k+j} \right) \right| + \delta^m \left((k+2)^m \frac{1}{2^{k+2} (k+2)^m} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k+3)^m \frac{1}{2^{k+3} (k+3)^m} + \cdots + (k+s)^m \frac{1}{2^{k+s} (k+s)^m} \right) + 2\delta^m \\ &\leq \left| P \left(\sum_{j=1}^s a_j u_{k+j} \right) \right| + 3\delta^m \leq \|P\| \cdot \left| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j u_{k+j} \right| + 3\delta^m \\ &\leq \|P\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j u_{k+j} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j e_{k+j} \right\|_F \\ &\quad + \|P\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^s a_j u_{k+j} \right\|_F + 3\delta^m \\ &\leq 2\|P\| + 3\delta^m, \end{aligned}$$

and thus P is a continuous m -homogeneous polynomial in the linear subspace of F generated by (e_n) . We extend P to a continuous m -homogeneous polynomial S on F . If (e_n) does not converge weakly to the origin in F , then F is isomorphic to l_1 and (e_n) is a Schauder basis of F equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 [4, Chap. I]. Hence, (e_n) has a lower m -estimate.

If, on the contrary, (e_n) converges weakly to zero in F , then (e_n) is an unconditional basis of this space [7; 4, Chap. I]. We now apply Lemma 2 and obtain a subsequence (e_{n_j}) of (e_n) with a lower m -estimate. Let $v_j := u_{n_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, (v_j) has a spreading model $(L, (g_j))$, with $g_j = e_{n_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, and L being the closed linear span of (e_{n_j}) in F . If we denote by M the closed linear hull of (g_j^*) in L^* , it follows that $(M, (g_j^*))$ is a spreading model of (v_n^*) in $[v_n]^*$. There is a constant $b > 0$ such that if B is any non-empty finite subset of \mathbb{N} and $b_n \in K$, $n \in B$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} b_n g_n \right\| \geq b \left(\sum_{n \in B} |b_n|^m \right)^{1/m}.$$

For fixed B , we find $\sum_{n \in B} c_n g_n$ of norm one in L such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} g_n^* \right\| < 2 \left| \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n g_n, \sum_{n \in B} g_n^* \right\rangle \right|.$$

If k is the unconditional constant of (g_n) , we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| g_n \right\| \leq 2k \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n \right\|$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \in B} g_n^* \right\| &< 2 \left| \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n g_n, \sum_{n \in B} g_n^* \right\rangle \right| = 2 \left| \sum_{n \in B} c_n \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n \in B} |c_n| \leq 2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^m \right)^{1/m} |B|^{(m-1)/m} \\ &\leq 4kb^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n \right\| \cdot |B|^{(m-1)/m} = 4kb^{-1} |B|^{(m-1)/m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply [1] and obtain a subsequence $(v_{m_n}^*)$ of (v_n^*) in $[v_n]^*$ and a constant $a > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} v_{m_n}^* \right\| \leq a|B|^{(m-1)/m}$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} . If we now set $z_n := v_{m_n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows immediately that in $[z_n]^*$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} z_n^* \right\| \leq a|B|^{(m-1)/m}. \quad (22)$$

Suppose now that there is a positive integer $r < m$ and a continuous r -homogeneous polynomial Q defined in X such that $(Q(x_n))$ does not converge to zero and, nevertheless, each continuous s -homogeneous polynomial T in X , $s < r$, satisfies that $\lim_n T(x_n) = 0$. Applying to Q what we did before, we obtain a subsequence (z_n) of (x_n) and $a > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in B} z_n^* \right\| \leq a|B|^{(r-1)/r} \leq a|B|^{(m-1)/m}$$

for every non-empty finite subset B of \mathbb{N} .

Q.E.D.

Note. In a Banach space X , let (x_n) be a basic sequence weakly convergent to zero. Let P be a continuous m -homogeneous polynomial defined in X such that $(P(x_n))$ does not converge to zero. We have seen in our previous theorem that there is a subsequence (z_n) of (x_n) and there is a positive number a for which (22) is satisfied. For $p > m$, we apply [8] and obtain that (z_n^*) has an upper $(p/(p-1))$ -estimate, thus, by duality, (z_n) has a lower p -estimate. However, when m is an even integer this result can be improved as we show in the following.

THEOREM 4. *In a Banach space X , let (x_n) be a seminormalized sequence weakly convergent to the origin. Let P be a continuous m -homogeneous polynomial defined in X such that $(P(x_n))$ does not converge to zero. Then, if m is even, there is a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) with a lower m -estimate.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\|P\| = 1$, $\|x_n\| = 1$, $P(x_n) > 0$, $\lim_n P(x_n) = c > 0$,

$$c - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < P(x_n) < c + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let g be the symmetric m -linear functional defined in X^m associated to P . Let us suppose first that every continuous r -homogeneous polynomial Q

defined in X , with $r < m$, satisfies that $\lim_n Q(x_n) = 0$. We now select step by step, similarly to what was done in the proof of Lemma 2, a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) such that if n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m are positive integers not all equal and r is the greatest of them

$$|g(y_{n_1}, y_{n_2}, \dots, y_{n_m})| \leq \frac{1}{2^r r^m}.$$

Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n$ converges in X . For a given $\epsilon > 0$, we find a positive integer n_0 such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n y_n \right\| < \epsilon, \quad |a_n| < \epsilon, \quad n_0 \leq p \leq n \leq q, \quad c - \frac{1}{2^{n_0+1}} > \frac{1}{2}c.$$

Then, for such integers p and q ,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^m &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n y_n \right\|^m \geq \left| P \left(\sum_{n=p}^q a_n y_n \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{p \leq n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m \leq q} a_{n_1} a_{n_2} \dots a_{n_m} g(y_{n_1}, y_{n_2}, \dots, y_{n_m}) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n^m P(y_n) \right| - \epsilon^m \left(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{p+2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^q} \right) \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n^m P(y_n) \right| - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^m \\ &\geq \sum_{n=p}^q a_n^m \left(c - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^m \geq \frac{1}{2} c \sum_{n=p}^q a_n^m - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^m, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$c \sum_{n=p}^q a_n^m \leq 3\epsilon^m.$$

Consequently, $(a_n) \in l_m$. If (a_n) is now a sequence in \mathbb{C} such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n$ converges in X , then, if $a_n = b_n + ic_n$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n y_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n y_n$ both converge in X , it follows that (b_n) and (c_n) are in l_m , and so $(a_n) \in l_m$.

Let T be the mapping from $[y_n]$ into l_m such that if $y := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n \in [y_n]$, then $Ty := (a_n)$. After what we have just seen, T is well defined.

Clearly, since T has a closed graph, we have that it is continuous. Therefore, there is $a > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^s a_n x_n \right\| \geq a \left(\sum_{n=1}^s |a_n|^m \right)^{1/m}, \quad a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s \in K. \quad (23)$$

Now, if on the other hand there is a continuous r -homogeneous polynomial Q in X , with $r < m$, such that $(Q(x_n))$ does not converge to zero, one can make use of the former Note also obtaining (23). Q.E.D.

Problem. Does Theorem 4 still hold for odd m ?

THEOREM 5. *In a Banach space X_j , let (x_{jn}) be a seminormalized basic sequence weakly convergent to the origin, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let (f_{jn}) be bounded sequence in X_j^* such that $(x_{jn}, f_{jn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a biorthogonal system. If (f_{jn}) has an upper p_j -estimate with*

$$\frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} + \frac{p_2 - 1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{p_m - 1}{p_m} \geq 1,$$

the following properties hold:

(1) *In $X := X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_2 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi \dots \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_m$, the closed linear span Z of*

$$(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}) \quad (24)$$

is complemented, it is isomorphic to l_1 , and (24) is a Schauder basis for Z equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 .

(2) *If, for each continuous m -linear functional g defined in $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_m$, we put $Tg := (g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}))$, then*

$$T: \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \rightarrow l_\infty$$

is an onto continuous linear map.

(3) *For each g in $\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \dots, X_m)$ and $x_j \in X_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the series*

$$\begin{aligned} & (Sg)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\ & := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) f_{1n}(x_1) f_{2n}(x_2) \dots f_{mn}(x_m) \end{aligned}$$

converges, Sg belongs to $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$, and

$$S: \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$$

is a continuous projection of range isomorphic to l_∞ .

Proof. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we write $q_j = p_j / (p_j - 1)$, (e_{jn}) is the unit vector basis of l_{q_j} , T_j is the mapping from l_{p_j} into X_j^* such that

$$T_j((a_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f_{jn}, \quad (a_n) \in l_{p_j},$$

and let

$$S_j: X_j \rightarrow l_{q_j}$$

be the conjugate map of T_j . Clearly, $S_j x_{jn} = e_{jn}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let ϕ be the mapping from X into $L := l_{q_1} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{q_2} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{q_m}$ given by the tensor product of the maps S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m . Let Y be the closed linear subspace of L generated by

$$(e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{mn}). \quad (25)$$

By Theorem 2, Y is complemented, isomorphic to l_1 , and (25) is a Schauder basis for Y equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 . Let φ be a continuous projection of L onto Y . Then $\psi := \varphi \circ \phi$ is a continuous linear map from X onto Y such that

$$\psi(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}) = e_{1n} \otimes e_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{mn}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consequently, Z is isomorphic to l_1 , it is complemented in X , and (25) is a Schauder basis for Z equivalent to the unit basis of l_1 . This proves part (1).

Obviously, if g belongs to $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$, $(g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}))$ is in l_{∞} and

$$T: \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \rightarrow l_{\infty}$$

is linear and continuous. On the other hand, given (a_n) in l_{∞} , there is a continuous linear functional u on Z such that

$$u(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}) = a_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The Hahn–Banach theorem allows us to extend u to an element v of X^* . If f denotes the element of $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$ associated to v , then

$$f(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) = v(x_{1n} \otimes x_{2n} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{mn}) = a_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

so T is onto, and part (2) is thus proved.

If $x_j \in X_j$, then $(f_{jn}(x_j))$ belongs to l_{q_j} and hence, using Hölder's inequality, $(f_{1n}(x_1), f_{2n}(x_2), \dots, f_{mn}(x_m))$ is in l_1 . Thus, if $g \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Sg)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\ := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn}) f_{1n}(x_1) f_{2n}(x_2) \dots f_{mn}(x_m) \end{aligned}$$

defines an element Sg of $\mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$ such that $S^2g = Sg$. We then have that

$$S: \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$$

is a continuous projection. On the other hand, the mapping Λ from the range of S into l_∞ such that

$$\Lambda(Sg) = (g(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{mn})), \quad g \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m),$$

is an isomorphism. Hence, the range of S is isomorphic to l_∞ . Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 7. *Let X be a Banach space such that X^* has type $p \in (1, 2]$. Let (x_n) be a seminormalized basic sequence in X weakly convergent to the origin. If m is an integer greater than $p/(p-1)$ there exist a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) and a basic sequence (f_n) in X^* , with $(x_n, f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ being a biorthogonal system, such that the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m X)$, we define $T(P) := (P(y_n))$, then*

$$T: \mathcal{P}({}^m X) \rightarrow l_\infty$$

is an onto continuous linear map.

- (2) *For each P in $\mathcal{P}({}^m X)$ and each x in X , the series*

$$S(P)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(y_n) f_n(x)^m$$

converges, $S(P)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}({}^m X)$, and

$$S: \mathcal{P}({}^m X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}({}^m X)$$

is a continuous projection of range isomorphic to l_∞ .

Proof. Since $p > m/(m-1)$, we proceed as in [8, Theorem 3.5] and find a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) and a sequence (f_n) in X^* such that $(y_n, f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a biorthogonal system and (f_n) has an upper $(m/(m-1))$ -estimate. The result follows now directly after the previous theorem.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. R. Alencar and K. Floret, Weak-strong continuity of multilinear mappings and the Pelczynski-Pitt theorem, preprint.
2. R. M. Aron and J. Globevnik, Analytic functions on c_0 , *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **2** (1989), 27–33.

3. R. M. Aron, M. Lacruz, R. A. Ryan, and A. M. Tonge, The generalized Rademacher functions, *Note Mat.* **12** (1992), 15–25.
4. B. Beauzamy and J. T. Lapresté, “Modèles étalés des espaces de Banach,” Hermann, Paris, 1984.
5. C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **17** (1958), 151–164.
6. A. Brunel and L. Sucheston, On B-convex Banach spaces, *Math. Systems Theory* **7** (1973), 294–299.
7. A. Brunel and L. Sucheston, On J-convexity and some ergodic superproperties of Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **204** (1975), 70–90.
8. J. Farmer and W. B. Johnson, Polynomial Schur and polynomial Dunford-Pettis properties, *Contemp. Math.* **14** (1993), 95–105.
9. B. R. Gelbaum and J. Gil de la Madrid, bases of tensor products of Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), 1281–1286.
10. R. Gonzalo and J. Jaramillo, Compact polynomials between Banach spaces, preprint.
11. G. Köthe, “Topological Vector Spaces, II,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, 1979.
12. A. Pelczynski, Projections in certain Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 209–228.
13. A. Pelczynski, A property of multilinear operations, *Studia Math.* **16** (1957), 173–186.
14. H. R. Pitt, A note on bilinear forms, *J. London Math. Soc.* **11** (396), 174–180.
15. I. Zaluendo, An estimate for multilinear forms on l_p spaces, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **93** (1993), 137–142.